

**APPENDIX 1 -  
Secure Cycle Parking (Bike Hangar)  
Consultation Summary**

**Table of Consultation Results**

Street	no. of addresses	Response rate	Support	Opposed	no opinion	total	% support	% opposed
ALBRIGHTON ROAD	163	6.7%	6	4	1	11	54.5%	36.4%
BENHILL ROAD	69	14.5%	9	1		10	90.0%	10.0%
BETHWIN ROAD	295	3.7%	7	4		11	63.6%	36.4%
CHAMPION PARK EST.	52	5.8%	2		1	3	66.7%	0.0%
COLEMAN ROAD	136	14.7%	14	5	1	20	70.0%	25.0%
GROSVENOR PARK	203	17.7%	28	6	2	36	77.8%	16.7%
GROSVENOR TERR.	200	7.0%	9	5		14	64.3%	35.7%
GROVE HILL ROAD	149	22.8%	19	13	2	34	55.9%	38.2%
IVANHOE ROAD	136	15.4%	12	8	1	21	57.1%	38.1%
MALFORT ROAD	22	36.4%	6	2		8	75.0%	25.0%
MORNA ROAD	22	36.4%	7	1		8	87.5%	12.5%
PYTCHLEY ROAD	271	6.3%	10	4	3	17	58.8%	23.5%
SANSOM STREET	46	43.5%	14	5	1	20	70.0%	25.0%
THOMPSONS AVENUE	18	5.6%	1			1	100.0%	0.0%
VALMAR ROAD	172	12.8%	16	5	1	22	72.7%	22.7%
VESTRY ROAD	252	10.3%	19	4	3	26	73.1%	15.4%

Key:

2 hangars proposed

Alternative location

## Comments opposed for streets where a cycle hangar is proposed

### Albrighton Road:

1. BUT SHOULD THE PALCE OF WHERE IT WILL BE SITUATED BE CHANGED TO NEARER THE HALL IS, IT WILL HAVE MY UPMOST TRUST AND SUPPORT. WHERE IT IS SITED NOW IS BAD AND DANGEROUS FOR MOTORISTS AND WILL CAUSE MORE ACCIDENT THAN THE COUNCIL THINKS. IF YOU DOUBT ME DO IT. RIGHT NOW MOTORISTS ARE STRUGGLING FOR SPACE TO COME INTO ?
2. WE ALREADY HAVE AMPLE CYCLE LOCK UPS ON THE ESTATE AND WE ARE SHORT OF CAR SPACES.
3. I THINK THAT IT MAY CAUSE MORE TRAFFIC CONGESTION ON THIS PART OF ALBRIGHTON ROAD, AS IT IS SO CLOSE TO THE ENTRANCE OF RISCHOLME HOUSE AND ?/ LEDBURY COURTYARD. IT WILL JUST EXACERBATE THE ? ROAD BACK AND JAM TO THEIR ENTRANCE/ EXIT TO THIS PART OF THE ESTATE, DUE TO THE ONGOING REMODELLING AND REBUILDING OF THE STARIRCASE TO WILTON AND OTHER BLOCKS!! COULDN'T THIS BE LOCATED ELSEWHERE ON THE ESTATE E.G. OPPOSITE END OF ALBRIGHTON ROAD TO THE LEFT HAND SIDE OF THE ALBRIGHTON COMMUNITY CENTRE.
4. I THINK THE PROPOSED LOCATION FOR THE HANGAR ON ALBRIGHTON RD WILL BE PLACED TOO NEAR A MAIN ROAD (DOG KENNEL HILL). IT WILL BE SEEN BY PEOPLE TRAVELLING ON THE BUS AND IN CARS DOWN DOG KENNEL HILL, SO THE HANGAR SHOULD BE LOCATED FURTHER ALONG ALBRIGHTON RD ON THE MAP. I ALSO THINK IT'S A SECURE BY DESIGN FAULT PROBLEM.

### Response:

A site assessment has been carried out to confirm that there are no physical constraints to the proposed location.

### Benhill Road:

1. MY ONLY COMMENTS ARE MAINLY AGAINST THIS PLAN. AS A RESIDENT OF A FLAT ON BENHILL ROAD, WE ARE PLAGUED BY CYCLIST USING THE PAVEMENT AS A CUT THROUGH INTO SOUTHAMPTON WAY. WE HAVE THREE ELDERY RESIDENTS IN THIS BLOCK. THE EXIT IS SECLUDED BY A BRICK WALL MAKING IT DANGEROUS FOR THEM TO GET TO THE PAVEMENT. IT WOULD BE MORE HELPFUL IF THERE WERE SIGNS ON THE PAVEMENT RESTRICTING CYCLIST FROM USING THIS FACILITY ENDANGERING THE LIVES OF THE ELDERLY TENANTS. THIS

SITUATION HAS GOT MUCH WORSE SINCE THE OFFICE HAS CLOSED DOWN. IM SURE SOME CYCLIST WILL OBVIOUSLY PROPOSE THE PLAN FOR THE CYCLE HANGARS BUT WE THE TENANTS WOULD APPRECIATE SIGNS STATING NO CYCLING ON PAVEMENTS.

Response:

This consultation only concerns the cycle hangar and other issues will be picked up separately.

**Bethwin Road:**

1. I NEITHER DRIVE A CAR OR USE A BIKE BUT IN MY OPINION FOR WHAT IT'S WORTH, FAR TOOMUCH MONEY AND PUBLIC ISSUES IS SPENT ON CYCLISTS. IN MY OPINION THEY ARE FAR MORE OF A NUISCANCE THAN OTHER ROAD USERS, THEY KNOCK PEOPLE OVER ON PAVEMENTS, THEY JUMP TRAFFIC LIGHTS AND BECOME VERY AGGRESSIVE WHEN YOU SAY SOMETHING TO THEM. FOR THESE REASONS I WILL OPPOSE YOUR PLANS TO INSTALL ANY HANGARS IN BETHWIN ROAD.
2. WHILST I DO NOT POSSESS A CAR OR A BIKE AND USE PUBLIC TRANSPORT MY COMMENTS ARE: 1) IN THE FIRST INSTANCE I WOULD HAVE THOURHG THAT THIS OPPORTUNITY SHOULD HAVE BEEN OFFERED TO ALL RESIDENTS ON THE ESTATE TO ESTABLISH INTEREST AND NUMBERS RATHER THAN LOOKING FOR 5 OTHERS TO RENT THE SPACE AFTER THE EVENT (IE SUCCESSFUL CONSULTATION). 2) IN MY OPINION THE PROPOSED SITING OF THE HANGAR WOULD BEST BE SUITED AT THE SIDE OF 1-16 BOUNDARY HOUSE (BOTTOM END) AGAINST THE WALL THUS ELIMINATING THE NEED TO LOSE ONE CAR PARKING SPACE ON THE ROADWAY AND ANY INCONVENIENCE CAUSED TO PEDESTRIANS ON THE PAVEMENT DUE TO ACCESS TO THE HANGAR.
3. WHY PLACE A CYCLE SHED FOR JUST 6 CYCLES IN THAT LOCATION. I WOULD SUGGEST AND SUPPORT A MUCH LARGER STORAGE COULD BE PLACED IN THE OPEN OFF ROAD SPACE LOCATED BY HORSMAN HOUSE. THERE ARE ALREADY SOME LOCKERS THERE BUT PLENTY OF SPACE FOR MORE AND THIS WOULD NOT HAVE A NEGATIVBE IMPACT ON MOTORISTS/PEDESTRIANS. ALSO IT WOULD BE A SAFE AREA FOR CYCLIST TO DISMOUNT ETC. DO BOTH? 6 SPACE IS HARDLY PROACTIVE TO PROMOTE CYCLING. I KNOW IN MY OWN BLOCK OF AT LEAST 10 RESIDENTS WHO STRUGGLE WITH THE BIKES TO AND FROM FLATS.
4. ON BETHWIN ROAD THERE IS LITTLE ENOUGH PARKING AS IT IS ONLY A NARROW ROAD AND PARKING IS ONLY ON ONE SIDE ESPECIALLY PARKING AT WEEKENDS WHEN PERMITS ARE NOT IN USE AND TO LOSE PARKING SPACES THAT IS MUCH NEEDED. ALSO THERE IS LIMITED ESTATE PARKING.

Response:

The preferred location is on the carriageway so that pedestrians are not impeded. The usage of the cycle hangar will be reviewed after installation. If there is more demand then the capacity will be increased in line with the available budget and competing needs of other streets.

**Champion Park Estate:**

No objections.

**Coleman Road:**

1. COLEMAN ROAD IS RESTRICTED TO PARKING ONE SIDE. THE ROAD IS TOO NARROW. MAY SUGGESTION WOULD BE IN NEWENT CLSOE WHERE THERE IS A LARGE EMPTY GRASS VERGE WHICH IS REDUNDANT
2. IT'S AN EYE SORE! DOESN'T HOUSE THAT MANY BIKES.
3. WASTE OF PARKING SPACE - HYPOCRITICAL WHEN CPZ IS BEING IMPOSED DESPITE MORE THAN 50% OF COLEMAN ROAD RESIDENTS OPPOSED TO IT. WHY DO CYCLISTS HAVE SO MUCH POWER AND FUNDS SPENT ON THEM? ALSO VERY UGLY. PLEASE DO NOT INSTAL NEAR OUR HOUSE WITHOUT APPLYING FOR PLANNING PERMISSION WHICH I WILL OPPOSE. IT IS A VICTORIA STREET - A MORE TRADITIONAL HANGAR WOULD BE MORE SUITED TO THE HOUSES. ALSO NOT EVEN FREE - MORE MEASURES AIMED AT MAKING COUNCILS RICHER AND RICH PEOPLE HAPPIER (LIKE THE CPZ) WA STHIS SUGGESTED BY THE WWTRA AS WELL? THEY DON'T REPRESENT MY VIEWS. WHAT IS A SMALL FEE - UNBELIEVEABLE TO RUN A SURVEY WITHOUT SAYING!!
4. I THOUGHT THAT SOUTHWARK COUNCIL WAS DOING PRIVATE PARKING BECAUSE THERE WERE NO SPACES IN COLEMAN ROAD, WHICH IS NO TIME BECAUSE I ALWAYS HAVE A SPACE TO PARK SINCE I MOVED THERE 2 YEARS AGO, AND NOW THEY WANT TO TAKE A SPACE TO PUT A CYCLE HANGAR. ARE WE CRAZY? THERE AREN'T NEVER BIKES PARKED ON THE STREET NEITHER. IN THE OTHERHAND, THERE ARE A FEW MOTOR B IKES IN COLEMAN ROAD THAT HAVE BEEN FORCED LATELY AND ALMOST STOLEN. WHAT WE REALLY NEED IS MOTORBIKE BAYS WITH SECURITY LOCKS ON THE ASPHALT.
5. A CYCLE HANGAR IS A GREAT IDEA IF IT MEANS NOT LOSING A PARKING SPACE,. THERE ARE ALWAYS LIMITED PARKING SPACES FOR RESIDENTS AS IT IS, COULD THE HANGAR NOT BE LOCATED SOMEWHERE THAT DOES NOT TAKE UP A PARKING SPACE.

Response:

A site assessment has been carried out and there is not enough space on the footway to accommodate the hangar, this means that the only option is for it to be located on the carriageway in a parking space. Cycle hangars do not need planning permission to be installed.

### **Grosvenor Park:**

1. THIS IS A BEAUTIFUL LISTED AREA AND THEY ARE AN EYESORE.
2. WOULD NOT BE PARTICULARLY PLEASE TO STEP OUT OF MY DOOR AND SEE A LARGE UNSIGHTLY DOME LOOKING SHAPE ACROSS FROM MY HOUSE. BIKE USERS HAVE FOR A LONG TIME HAVE THEIR OWN STORAGE AREAS SO CANNOT SEE THE NEED AS NECESSARILY TO REMOVE PARKING SPACE REPLACING WITH THE UNATTRACTIVE HANGAR UNLESS IT IS FOR FINANCIAL REASON OR REWARDS FOR THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT.
3. MOST PEOPLE WITH BICYCLES KEEP THEIR BIKES AT THEIR HOME ADDRESS. THIS MAY START TO CAUSE PROBLEMS LIKE THEFT WHEN THE WEATHER BEGINS TO GET WARMER.
4. THE PROPOSED SPACE REDUCES AVAILABLE PARKING SPACES WHERE IT IS NEEDED. ALL AVAILABLE SPACE IS REQUIRED. HOWEVER WHY CANT A HANGER BE PLACED IN BROMAR RD OUTSIDE 24 WHERE THERE IS A YELLOW LINE. THE LINES WERE PLACED FOR A BUS STOP WHICH NO LONGER EXISTS. PLACING IT THERE WOULD NOT HAVE A LOSS OF PARKING SPACE. IT WOULD HAVE NOTHING TO DO WITH THE FACT.
5. THE LESS STREET FURNITURE THE BETTER. THIS IS A CONSERVATION AREA- THE LESS CLUTTER IN THE STREETS, THE MORE ELEGANT THEY LOOK. PEOPLE CAN TAKE THEIR BIKES INSIDE- I ALWAYS HOME ALONE.
6. THESE CYCLE HANGARS ARE UNSIGHTLY AND UGLY, I AM DEFINATELY OPPOSED TO HAVING ANOTHER ONE OF THE EYESORES ON OUR CONSERVATION ESTATE. PEOPLE WHO HAVE BIKES SHOULD TAKE THEM INSIDE THEIR PROPERTIES WHEN NOT IN USE AND NOT CLUTTER UP THE STREETS WITH THEM. I HAD A BIKE FOR MANY YARS WHEN I WAS YOUNGER AND I ALWAYS CARRIED IT INTO MY HOUSE WHEN IT WASN'T IN USE. I DIDN'T EXPECT SOMEBODY ELSE TO PROVIDE ME WITH SOMEWHERE TO HOUSE MY BIKE AND ALSO I DIDN'T CHAIN IT TO MY OUTSIDE RAILINGS EITHER, MAKING THE HOUSE OR STREET LOOK LIKE A MESS. SO IF I AND EVERYBODY ELSE THAT USED TO OWN BIKES WERE CAPABLE OF TAKING THEM INSIDE, THEN SO SHOULD PEOPLE WHO OWN THEM NOWADAYS. WHATS MORE, I SEE FROM THE PLAN THAT A VALUABLE PARKING SPACE WILL ALSO BE LOST TO HOUSE THIS AWFUL UPTURNED SKIP. AS WE ALREADY PAY IN EXCESS OF £100 A YEAR FOR A PARKING SPACE

AND AS THEY ARE AT A PREMIUM, I DON'T THINK A SPACE SHOULD BE LOST FOR SOMETHING THAT JUST ISN'T NEEDED , IT MAYBE WANTED B SOME FIT BUT LAZY CYCLISTS BUT IT CERTAINLY ISN'T A NECESSITY. I OFTEN COME HOME AT NIGHT ONLY TO FIND THERE ARE NO PARKING SPACES AVAILABLE AS SOMEONE WHO IS DISABLED, I HAVE TO PARK ON DOUBLE YELLOW LINES AND THEN GET UP EARLY IN THE MORNING TO MOVE MY CAR. SO HOW ABOUT SOME MORE DISABLED SPACES INSTEAD OF CATERING FOR FIT PEOPLE.

Response:

The cycle hangar will only take up half a parking space and it is proposed to locate it in the middle of a row of cars in order to reduce the visual impact. It is proposed to also install a second hangar as there are 10 spaces identified as being required in the local consultation.

**Grosvenor Terrace:**

1. There is enough space on the pavement without having to lose even more parking space. It is difficult enough to park and the limited space is being reduced by stealth. Strongly believe there are alternatives.
2. DO NOT TAKE OUR PARKING WE PAY FOR OUT PUT MONDY TO SUNDAY FOR RESIDENTS
3. Please find below my objections and suggestion to the proposed siting of the cycle hanger outside 32 Grosvenor Terrace. During certain periods when there are few cars the original layout of the Mid Victorian Terrace can be viewed. Permanently placing, what in effect looks like a rubbish skip, will damage this view. The suggested hanger is extremely ugly and as it rusts, gets knocked, and sadly no doubt gets tagged by vandals it will become nothing less than an even worse eyesore. Added to this, the road width at this point is at its narrowest and will only emphasise its' presence. Parking is also a premium at this end of the Terrace as it is regularly used as an overflow car park for the church at the corner of Camberwell Road. Also, the residents who own cars at houses 17, 19 and 21 do not have spaces directly in front of their houses and as such will have to park further away. As previously stated the road here is at its' narrowest and spaces to overtake are limited, therefore, the placing of the hanger will only compound the difficulty in traffic to pass. The metal hanger will also be noisy as occupiers will presumably have 24 hours access. As my bedroom is situated at the front of the house a further noise-creating element in the street is the last thing that I need. As the hanger only has room for 6 bicycles, it seems to be a huge imposition to the look of the street for so little reward and cost. The houses in the Terrace are large and there seems little reason that it is not possible for residents to keep there bikes within, or on their own premises. Finally, as none of the occupants of 30-34 have actually requested the hanger, it seems unfair to have to have it located in this

space and not outside, at least, the houses of those who might actually want one.

In principal I have no overall objection to the installation of hangers but as the Terrace has enough clutter with rubbish bins already filling the pavements, I would suggest that one more 'bin' is not wanted. Surely it would be better to locate the hanger(s) where the street is widest so as not to ruin the look of the Terrace and at the same time perhaps introduce a traffic calming aspect with some plant boxes either side to landscape it.

4. WE OPPOSED THE CYCLE HANGAR. AS THERE IS A LACK OF PARKING SPACES AT THIS END OF GROSVENOR TERRACE, PLUS WE HAVE TO PUT UP WITH THE BUSINESS OPPOSITE WITH VANS AND CARS IN AND OUT DAY AND NIGHT AND THE NOISE. ALSO WHEN THERE IS SOMETHING GOING ON AT THE CHURCH NOBODY AT THIS END OF STREET HAS A BIKE IF WE HAVE TO HAVE THE CYCLE HANGAR CAN YOU PUT IT UNDER THE BRIDGE AS WE HAVE SEEN AT THE OTHER LOCAL STREETS.
5. THE CYCLE HANGAR WAS NOT REQUESTED BY MYSELF THEREFORE IT SHOULD NOT BE PLACED IN FRONT OF MY PROPERTY IF ANYWHERE IT SHOULD BE PLACED IN FRONT OF JOHN RUSKIN PRIMARY SCHOOL ON THE OLD BOMB SITE NEXT TO THE SUB STATION AND WHAT I THINK IS ALREADY A BIKE SHED AS MOST BIKES ARE FROM THAT END OF THE STREET. RATHER THAN TAKE UP A PARKING SPACE THAT IS ALREADY USED BY NEIGHBOURS, ALSO THE BOMB SITE IS A NEUTRAL AREA.

Response:

It is proposed that the location of the hangar be altered to underneath the bridge. A site assessment has been carried out and there are no physical constraints to the current location. The hangar would only take up half a parking space and would be located in the middle of a row of parked vehicles in order to minimize the visual impact. There is a maintenance agreement which will address issues such as rubbish and vandalism.

**Grove Hill Road:**

1. T WHEN CHILDREN PUT GRAFFITI ON THESE CYCLE HANGARS IT WILL LOOK UGLY.  
THE DESIGN IS TERRIBLE  
TAKES UP A NECESSARY PARKING SPACE  
AN EYESORE ON THE STREET.
2. I DO NOT THINK THAT YOUR PROPOSED CYCLE HANGAR WOULD SUIT THE STYLE OF THE ROAD. PLUS IT SEEMS THAT IT IS ONLY A VERY SMALL PORTION OF RESIDENTS WHO WANT THE HANGAR.
3. I THINK THE PROPOSED CYCLE HANGARS ARE AN EYESORE AND WOULD USE UP, UNNECESSARILY, THE PARKING



SPACE IN MY AREA. WHY NOT JUST HAVE AN ORDINARY CYCLE STAND WITH STEEL TUBING. MUCH CHEAPER AND LESS OF AN EYESORE.

4. I OPPOSE ON TH BASIS OF HARM TO THE LOCAL STREET SCENE.

I CYCLE TO WORK AND FULLY SUPPORT THAT THERE IS NO NEED TO PROVIDE OVER ENGINEERED ENCLOSURES OF THIS NATURE.

WHY NOT SIMPLE ? SOME CYCLE HOOPS ON THE PAVEMENT BETWEEN GROVE HILL ROAD AND BROMAR ROAD WHERE THERE IS SPACE.

5. 1) THERE ARE A LACK OF CAR PARKING SPACES DURING THE WEEK DUE TO NON-RESIDENTS (COMMUTERS?) PARKING FOR DENMARK HILL AND EAST DULWICH STATIONS. 2) MOST PROPERTIES ON GROVE HILL ROAD HAVE FRONT GARDENS. WHY NOT PLACE IT ON THE WIDENED PAVEMENT NEAR THE LETTSOM GARDEN ENTRANCE ON GROVE HILL ROAD.
6. THE HANGARS ARE BRUTAL UGLY AND VISUALLY OBTRUSTIVE AND ALSO PROBABLY NOISY IN USE (I ASSUME THEY ARE METAL) GROVE HILL ROAD IS A RESIDENTIAL STREET WITH FRONT AND BACK GARDENS OFFERING APPROPRIATE PARKING SPACES FOR RESIDENTS BIKES. THERE IS NO INDICATION OF THE CRITERIA USED TO IDENTIFY THE PROPOSED SITING OF THE HANGARS WOULD THIS BE REMEDIED. COULD YOU DIRECT ME TO THE RESEARCH THAT ENABLES YOU TO STATE THAT THE LACK OF SAFE PARKING PLACES, PARTICULARLY IN THIS AREA OF SOUTHWARK, PUT RESIEDENTS OFF OWNING AND USING BIKES? THE RECENT REMOVAL OF TREES IN THIS AREA (MALFORD RD, AVONDALE, GROVE LANE/GROVE HILL ROAD) AND THE REMOVAL OF ANOTHER CURRENTLY ON THE PROPOSED GHR HANGAR SITE (WAS THIS CONSIDERED?) TREES TAKING SECOND PLACE TO TRANSPORT INFRASTRUCTURE (AT THE REQUEST OF ONLY ONE LOCAL RESIDENT!) SHOWS A WOEFUL MISUNDERSTANDING OF RESIDENTS ENVIRONMENTAL ATTITUDES.
7. WE HAVE HEAVY TRAFFIC IN THIS PART OF PECKHAM, WITH CARS COMING UP FROM PECKHAM , AND BOTTLE-NECKS WITH VEHICLES BRINGING CHILDREN TO THE SCHOOL ON THE CORNER. THIS UGLY BIKE STORE WOULD MAKE THINGS WORSE. THEY ARE MANY RESIDENTS WHO ARE OLD AND EVERY CAR SPACE IS PRECIOUS FOR US. (I AM 93).  
WE DO NOT CYCLE BUT HAVE NURSES, ETC, NEEDING SPACE FOR THEIR VEHICLES. BETTER TO HAVE PARKING METRES AND COMMUTERS FROM ELSEWHERE SNATCH OUR NEARBY SPACES. WE ALL HAVE FRONT GARDENS WITH LOTS OF ROOM TO STORE OUR BICYCLES THERE, RATHER THAN FUTHER BLOCK THE ROAD.  
AT THE BOTTOM OF G.HILL RD, A BICYCLE STORE AROUND THE CORNER WOULD BE BETTER, AS A FEW ROADS TO THE LEFT, THERE, IS A BLANK WALL; NO THROUGH TRAFFIC GOES THERE.

SO- THE SITE YOU SUGGEST IS THE WORST ONE ON THE ROAD, BUT THE ONE I SUGGEST IS ONLY A HUNDRED YARDS FUTHER DOWN.

8. THERE IS LIMITED PARKING ON GROVE HILL ROAD AND THE ADDITION OF A BIKE HANGER WILL LIMIT SPACE FUTHER. MOST HOUSES HAVE A FRONT AND BACK GARDEN OFFERING SUFFICIENT SPACE TO SECURELY STORE CYCLES

9. We think this is an unnecessary eyesore. We don't want to see this when looking out our lounge window, and I'm sure it won't be too long before it's covered in graffitti which will make it even more of a 'blot on the landscape'.

It says in your proposal that 'a' resident has requested this, so it's not even clear that other people would want to use it.

Every single property on Grove Hill Road has a front garden. If people are worried about security for their bikes, they should either keep them in their hallway, back garden (if applicable), or in their front garden with a lockable cycle box which can be purchased from many outlets.

We also feel there is no need for the hanger to be positioned in the road and taking up parking spaces. We have already had parking spaces greatly reduced when double yellow lines were put on the corners of Bromar and Malfort Roads to accommodate the P13 bus, which was then re-routed so it doesn't run down this road any more. It is said that the cycle shed would be the space of one car, but that there will be a metre of yellow lines around it, so surely this will make it take up the amount of space that is more like 2 cars? I often have to park my car a far away from my house as it is. This is extremely inconvenient when I have lots of shopping and have to make multiple trips to bring it all indoors I have arthritis and can't carry heavy objects far so would not welcome have parking spaces on this road reduced even further than they have been already. If this hanger IS really needed, in our opinion, the best place for it would be at the top of Grove Hill Road near the gate to Lettsom Gardens, where the pavement has recently been made three times its original width. There's plenty of room for it there and it wouldn't take up space on a narrow pavement which could inconvenience pedestrians - especially parents with pushchairs and young children who are going to Dog Kennell Hill School - when cyclists are taking their bikes out of the hanger which opens onto the pavement side.

Another acceptable place would be the unused 'garden' at the top of Bromar Road, which has been a complete waste of money. Nobody ever goes in there, it's full of weeds and has become a dumping ground for rubbish. If the 'garden' were removed it would be a much more appropriate site for the hanger as there are already garages behind it.

10. I'm opposing is this cycle hanger because will take up a valuable parking space. I live on Grove Hill Road and find it impossible to park between 7am-6pm. If I move my car between this time I would be very lucky to find a parking space in my street or on Malfort or Bromar. We need permit parking on our street to help with resident parking. I see people arrive from 7am and leave their car in my street and return (after work) between 5-6pm to retrieve their car. Permit parking would go a long way to

resolving the lack of parking on our street. I strongly advise you find another location for this cycle hanger. Many thanks

11. THE CYCLE HANGER IS UGLY. THE PAVEMENTS NARROW AND ITS A RESIDENTIAL STREET - THE HANGER WILL BE CONVENIENCE FOR A FEW AND INCONVENIENT FOR MANY. IT WOULD BE NOISEY, THE CHANGING OF ITS OPENING, IF USED AT NIGHT WILL DISTURB SLEEPERS. DONT DISFIGURE OUR ROADS!
12. WE HAVE LIMITED PARKING ON GROVE HILL TOSD ON THE BEST OF DAYS. PEOPLE USE THE ROAD TO PARK AND WALK TO EAST DULWICH AND DENMARK HILL STATIONS. IF YOU COME HOME ANYTIME AFTER 8.30 IT IS USUALLY IMPOSSIBLE TO PARK ON THE UPPER ? OF GROVE HILL ROAD. SOMETIMES THIS MEANS WE HAVE TO PARK SEVERAL ROADS AWAY WHICH IS INCONVENIENT AT BEST AND VERY CHALLENGING WHEN YOU HAVE YOUNG CHILDREN/ SHOPPING ETC TOO TRANSFORM A PRESIOUS PARKING SPACE FOR THE BIKE GARAGE WILL JUST MAKE THE PROBLEM WORSE.

Response:

It is proposed that the location of the hangar be altered to the pavement next to Camberwell Grove. The proposal is in direct response to a local request. The hangar would only take up half a parking space and would be maintained by the provider, ensuring that graffiti is removed.

#### **Ivanhoe Road:**

1. DUE TO AN INCREASING AMOUNT OF WORKS BEING CARRIED OUT ON HOUSES IN THIS AREA, THERE HAS BEEN AN INCREASE IN THE NUMBER OF VANS AND TRUCKS PARKED ON THIS STREET WHICH MAKES IT DIFFICULT TO PARK A CAR. AS I AM ASSUMING A CYCLE HANGAR IS APPROXIMATELY A CARS LENGTH IT WILL ADD TO THE PROBLEM OF PARKING IN THE STREET.
2. 1. We believe that cycle hangars would significantly increase the risk of theft crime. Cycles are one of the most targeted objects for theft, and they strongly attract criminals to congregate around places with a lot of bikes. Hangars would be just such a hotspot. Criminal elements would have a very strong incentive to monitor the cycle hangar spot, for possible signs of improper locking or to find ways to disable the locks. This would worsen what is already a very worrying theft crime situation on Ivanhoe Road and surrounding roads. In 2015 a resident's van was broken into outside 14 Ivanhoe Road, which is right next to the proposed site of the new hangars. In 2014 a window was smashed on a car parked outside 16 Ivanhoe Road, and the car was burgled. These acts were done even though the two vehicles had car alarms, and since cycle hangars would not have such sophisticated alarms they would be even more of a theft target. What heightens the concern even more is that Ivanhoe Road is very quiet and dimly lit during evenings/nights. This would only encourage criminal elements to attempt theft without getting

noticed. Generally, during 2015 there was increased police presence on our street and police posters warning of theft risk. New cycle hangers in the proposed site would only make this situation worse.

2. We question the need for cycle hangars on our street. Ivanhoe Road consists of mostly 2-storey terrace houses with gardens in the back. For this reason, the majority of properties on the street have access to secure locking space in the back of the house and have no need for on-road cycle hangars. We understand that the plan for a new cycle hangar is motivated by the request of a single resident. Very few residents of Ivanhoe Road can make this request that do not already have secure storage space in their own garden. We believe it is both unfair and unnecessary to make the whole street more vulnerable to crime for the convenience of a small minority (or a single household). Their needs can be better accommodated by placing cycle hangars elsewhere, in a place that would deter crime by having more lighting and people traffic, such as close to East Dulwich station. Expanding bike storage facilities by the station, which is a higher visibility area and already has cycle hangars present, is thus an initiative we would strongly support

3. There are no parking restrictions on Ivanhoe Road and people use the road to park and use Denmark Hill/East Dulwich stations. it is therefore already difficult to park on the road let alone outside the house. I am a mum of two and I find it difficult to park and get my kids and shopping into the house safely as I often have to park on a different street. Taking up a parking space with the hanger will make it even harder. I am also a cyclist but would not use the hanger as I store my bike either out in the back garden or in the shed in the front garden as do many of my neighbours. I therefore do not consider that the hanger will be well utilised.
4. IN THIS PART OF IVANHOE ROAD ALL PROPERTIES HAVE FRONT YARDS/GARDENS IN WHICH BICYCLES CAN BE PARKED FOR FREE. I DO NOT BELIEVE THEREFORE THAT PEOPLE WILL CHOOSE TO PAY TO RENT A SPACE AWAY FROM THEIR OWN GARDENS IN ORDER TO PARK THE BIKES. THERE IS ALSO ALREADY DIFFICULTY PARKING CARS IN THE AREA WITHOUT LOSING ANOTHER SPACE.
5. UNNECESSARY, TAKES UP SPACE. NOT EVERYONE WOULD BE ABLE TO USE IT. UNSIGHTLY ETC. ALL RESIDENTS CAN PARK THEIR BIKE IN FRONT OF THEIR HOUSES OR INSTALL THEIR OWN BIKE SHEDS.
6. 1) ONLY 6 LOCAL RESIDENTS WANT THIS NOT VERY DEMOCRATIC. 2) MOST LOCAL BIKERS KEEP THEIR BIKES INDOORS OR LOCKED UP IN FRONT GARDEN. 3) GREAT IDEA FOR THEFT AND VANDALISM. 4) WE ALREADY HAVE DOUBLE YELLOW LINES IN THE IVANHOE TRIANGLE, WHY COULDN'T THEY BE PLACED ON THEM? 5) ONLY ONE PARKING SPACE LOST ONE OF MANY. 6) ALREADY LOST LOTS OF PARKING SPACE IN THE AREA.
7. I STRONGLY OPPOSE THE INSTALLATION OF THIS CYCLE HANGAR OUTSIDE THE PROPERTY OF NO. 16 IT UNDOUBTEDLY WILL CREATE MORE OBSTRUCTION TO ACCESS TO MY RESIDENCE WHERE I HAVE BEEN LIVING FOR 40 YEARS. REASONS: 1) THE PAVEMENT IS 6'6" WIDE AND THE WHEELY BINS FOR THE GARBAGE ON MY SIDE OF THE ROAD HAS REDUCED THE WIDTH OF AVAILABLE PATHWAY TO 3' (BIN IS 28" WIDE) 2) THERE IS A LAMP

POST DIRECTLY OUTSIDE OF MY PREMISES NO. 18 WHICH IS 54 INCHES FROM MY BORDER. 3) THERE IS A HUGE TREE TO MY LEFT OUTSIDE NO. 16 WHICH IS ALSO AN OBSTRUCTION. 4) MY WIFE WHO IS BEING TREATED FOR MULTIPLE SCLEROSIS HAS DIFFICULTY NAVIGATING HER WAY WITH HER WALKING STICK BECAUSE OF WEAKNESS OF HER LEGS, FINDS IT VERY DIFFICULT TO GET TO NO. 18. THE ERECTION OF ANOTHER OBSTACLE AND BARRIER WILL CREATE MORE SUFFERING FOR HER. THE PAVEMENT ON THE OTHER SIDE OF THE ROAD HAS SNO WHEELY BINS BECAUSE THEY HAVE A FRONT GARDEN, SO PLEASE CONSIDER.

8. I OBJECT TO THIS PROPOSAL. THIS BENEFITS ONLY CYLIST RESIDENTS AND WOULD REQUIRE ADMINISTRATION COST NOT TO MENTION CONTRUCTION ETC. ALREADY YOU SPEND MONEY ON NEAR USELESS STREET FUNITURE LIKE NOTICEBOARDS WHICH ARRE NOT USED CORRECTLY. PLEASE CONSIDER ON MEANING THE PAVEMENTS INSTEAD OR CORRECT TREE MAINTAINANCE.

Response:

The proposal is in direct response to a local request. The hangar would only take up half a parking space and its usage will be monitored and the hangar relocated if it is not well used.

**Malfort Road:**

1. UTTERLY STUPID IDEA FOR SUCH A TINY ROAD - ONE OF THE SMALLEST IN LONG. I ABSOLUTELY OPPOSE THIS - IT WOULD INTERFERE WITH VIEWS OF ONCOMING TRAFFIC, IT IS DANGEROUS AND AN EYESORE. WHY ON THIS TINY SIDE STREET. I AM A FORMER CYCLIST AND STORAGE WAS NEVER THE ISSUE FOR ME, IT WAS THE DANGER AT VAUXHALL AND TELEPHANT AND CASTLE. HOW WOULD AMBULANCES, FIRE ENGINES, RUBBISH TRUCKS PASS ONE ANOTHER? WHOSE DAFT IDEA IS THIS . A BETTER SPACE FOR THIS WOULD BE THE RIDICULOUSLY WIDE PAVEMENT BY LETTSOM GARDENS - BUT REALLY WHY WOULD ANYBODY CHOOSE TO USE THIS FACILITY EVER - WE ALL HAVE HALLS, FRONT GARDENS ETC THIS IS A STEEP HILL - DO NOT OBSCURE OUR VIEW OF ONCOMING TRAFFIC CYCLISTS BEGGARS BELIEF.
2. MALFORT ROAD IS A SHORT STREET WITH NO RESIDENTS PARKING THEREFORE REMOVING A PARKING SPACE WILL ONLY ADD TO THE PROBLEM OF BEING ABLE TO PARK NEAR OWN HOME. THE PROPOSED SITE OF THE HANGAR IS IMPRACTICAL. THE PAVEMENT IS VERY NARROW PLUS THE BINS (THREE PER PROPERTY) MUST REMAIN ON THHE PAVEMENT BECAUSE OF THE RAISED FRONTAGE ON THAT SIDE OF THE ROAD. THIS IS WELL-USED ROUTE FOR PEDESTRIANS WITH PRAMS ON ROUTE TO DOG KENNEL HILL SCHOOL. THERE IS ALREADY A BIKE RACK ON THE BOTTOM END OF THE ROAD WITH ROOMFOR ANOTHER IF NEEDED (OUTSIDE THE OLD

IVANHOE PUB NOW 2 FLATS) IF ABSOLUTELY NECESSARY A HANGAR COULD BE SITED HERE WITHOUT LOSING A PARKING SPACE. HOWEVER AS ONLY ONE RESIDENT SEEMS TO HAVE REQUESTED THE HANGAR, WE WOULD NOT SUPPORT THE PROPOSAL AT THE EXPENSE OF A PARKING SPACE. THERE ARE ONLY 20 OR SO HOUSES ON THE STREET SPACE FOR 6 CYCLES IMPLIES A VERY HIGH PROPORTION OF CYCLIST WITHOUT ANYWHERE TO PARK THEIR BIKES. IT SEEMS MORE LIKELY THAT THE ROAD THE SPACE WILL BE MORE USEFUL TO THE MAJORITY OF CAR OWNERS ON THE STREET.

Response:

The proposal is in direct response to a local request. The hangar would only take up half a parking space and its usage will be monitored and the hangar relocated if it is not well used.

**Morna Road:**

1. WASTE OF MONEY AND ONLY BENEFITTING RICH PEOPLE WHO DON'T WANT TO GET THEIR POSH HOUSES DIRTY.

Response:

The Southwark Cycling Strategy supports more journeys being made by bike and the provision of secure storage is identified as one of those facilities that will assist this. The hangar will be available to everyone.

**Pytchley Road:**

1. East Dulwich Estate already has a number of Bikeaway cycle lockers which are superior to the proposed hangars as they are:
  - only accessed by the person renting
  - do not take up space on the carriageway
  - secure and robust in constructionI would propose more Bikeaway lockers if the existing number is not enough to fulfil local needs.
2. I SUPPORT MORE CYCLE STORAGE BUT DISAGREE WITH LOCATION CHOSES. WHY PUT IT ON A BUSY ROAD WHEN THERE ARE PLENTY OF OTHER SPACES ON THE ESTATE/SURROUNDING AREA. FOR EXAMPLE, THERE IS QUITE A BIG PAVEMENT AREA SUITABLE (BY DENTIST SURGERY/QUORN ROAD - LEFT OF ENTRANCE INTO FELBRIDGE AND GOLDWELL COURTYARDS)
3. WE HAVE BIKE LOCKERS ON THE ESTATE WITH A FURTHER AMOUNT DUE, APPARENTLY A FURTHER SET OF 5 MORE. I HELPED TO ACQUIRE THEM. I STRONGLY DISAGREE TO HAVING THIS TYPE OF RACK ON THE ESTATE.

THIS AREA HAS NARROW ROADS AND RESIDENT PARKING IS A PREMIUM. WE ALREADY HAVE COMMUTERS UTILISING MUCH OF OUR PARKING AREAS BECAUSE OF EAST DULWICH STATION. THERE ARE ENOUGH CYCLING SPACES AROUND THE AREA.

4. THE LOCATION OF THE PROPOSED CYCLE HANGAR, SHOULD NOT BE LOCATED ON THE ROADM, BECAUSE PARKING SPACES ARE ALREADY LIMITED; (RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT ON PREVIOUSLY OFF STREET PARKING FOR CARS). ONCE PARKING SPACES ARE LOST THEY ARE NEVER REPLACED. THEREFORE, THE CYCLE HANGAR SHOULD BE ON THE PAVEMENT, MOST LIKELY ON ANY OF THE GREEN SPACES DOTTED AROUND.

Response:

The proposal is in direct response to a local request. The hangar would only take up half a parking space and its usage will be monitored and the hangar relocated if it is not well used.

#### **Sansom Street:**

1. The location is inappropriate and should be relocated to either the Harvey road spur which abuts Sansom street together with hard landscaping to improve this area or allocation/conversion of one of the existing Southwark owned garages which are located at the end of Sansom street and are under-used. Sansom street is a tree lined street and the inclusion of a defensive and poorly consider cycle shed would be detrimental to the unity of existing public realm in this location, given the practical alternative above, the proposals should be amended to make use of existing garage or new hard landscaping in appropriate location such as the Harvey road spur connecting with Sansom street.
2. I do not think the cycle hangars are the solution for encouraging cycle use and solving storage issues. For a street such as Sansom St it will only help a minority of cycle users, the booking is on a first come basis, so those quick off the mark with a bit of cash may get the benefit, but not general users. Sansom has over 45 residential units (flats and sharers as well as houses). If it is approved it should be located in the middle of the street not at the Elmington Road end by the public housing flats.
3. IN THEORY IT'S NOT A BAD IDEA, BUT WEIGHING IT UP, THE HANGAR OFFERS ONLY 6 SPACES SO I FEEL IT WILL ONLY BE A MATTER OF TIME BEFORE MORE ARE DEMANDED. EACH HANGAR TAKES UP A PARKING SPACE FOR A CAR, RESIDENTS ALREADY PAY FOR THIS. MOST IMPORTANTLY, THESE SYSTEMS CREATE NOISE DISTURBANCE AS PEOPLE PUT IN AND TAKE OUT BIKES, IN SUCH A QUIET STREET, SUCH NOISE IS AMPLIFIED. OUR HOUSEHOLDS OWN BIKES, BUT WE THINK THEY CAN BE KEPT INDOORS OR IN THE GARDENS. FINALLY, I DO NOT THINK THEY ARE A PHYSICALLY ATTRACTIVE FEATURE ON A STREET.
4. 1) THEY ENCOURAGE CYCLING BY PROVIDING A SAFE PARKING SPACE TO INDIVIDUALS WHO WOULD OTHERWISE

- BE PUT OFF OWNING AND USING A BIKE IN SOUTHWARK - THE HANGAR WAS PROPOSED BY RESIDENTS OF SANSOM STREET, ALL OF WHOM HAVE A GARDEN! THEY HAVE SPACE. 2) NO ONE WANTS IT OUTSIDE THEIR OWN HOUSE SO IT GETS PUT IN FRONT OF THE COUNCIL FLATS AT THE END OF THE STREET, I DON'T THINK THAT IS FAIR. 3)IT COULD JUST BE BIKE STORAGE FOR HOUSEHOLDS WHO JUST WANT MORE SPACE, RATHER THAN PEOPLE WHO USE THEIR BIKES REGULARLY. FIRST COME FIRST SERVED DOESN'T DISCRIMINATE.
5. IF THERE ARE APPROX 20 PEOPLE WHO WOULD LIKE OPPORTUNITIES TO LOCK THEIR BIKES UP, OTHER THAN LOCK THEM IN THEIR FRONT GARDENS, HIDDEN BY A HEDGE OR BIKE THEM INSIDE, AN INSTALLATION FOR ONLY 6 BIKES - THE SIZE OF A CAR SPACE IS INSANE, ELITIST POOR USE OF SPACE. IF AND ONLY IN MY OPINION IS OF LITTLE IMPORTANCE, WOULD YOU PLEASE CANVAS FOR THE LOCATION TO BE THE CORNER OF SANSOM STREET AND HARVEY ROAD A SPACE THAT WILL HAVE NO DETRIMENTAL AFFECT OF THE VISUAL ELEMENT OF A VERY BEAUTIFUL VICTORIAN STREET. DO NOT ALLOW THIS MONSTROSITY BLIGHT A BEAUTIFUL STREET FOR THE SAKE OF 6 BIKES ONLY. NO, NO, NO.

Response:

The proposal is in direct response to a local request. The hangar would only take up half a parking space and its usage will be monitored and the hangar relocated if it is not well used.

**Thompsons Avenue:**

No objections

**Valmar Road:**

1. I am very opposed to it as there is always a struggle to find somewhere to park and pay for my parking permit, sometimes I have to park streets away from my home which can be frustrating when you have to struggle with a lot of shopping and being a short road all the spaces are always occupied.
2. We received the consultation document on the Valmar Road cycle hanger on the same day (19th January 2016) as all parking was suspended in the area to facilitate a crane entering the schools car park via its existing cross over that does not show on your plan of the area. Which rather highlights the impracticality of placing it in this position opposite No 28. Also having such a unit opening on the pavement side with cyclists having to manoeuvre their bikes on this busy pavement could be a problem.  
If it is deemed necessary to place one of these units in the area I would suggest on the school side of the short leg of Valmar



Road (avoiding the electric sub station) or the school side of Morna Road (avoiding school markings) would be more appropriate sites. It is also vital that wherever it is sited the Council (and TFL) take active responsibility for its maintenance and for clearing the rubbish which will inevitably build up around it.

3. THIS UGLY BOX IS NOT APPROPRIATE FOR VALMAR ROAD WHICH IS RESIDENTIAL WITH FINE VICTORIAN HOUSES AND SCHOOL. I WOULD QUESTION THE NEED FOR IT AS MOST IF NOT ALL THE CYCLES THAT ARE CHAINED TO THE LAMP POST AND TREES IN VALMAR ROAD, THEIR OWNERS LIVE IN COLDHARBOUR LANE AND DENMARK HILL. A MORE APPROPRIATE SITING OF THIS CYCLE BOX WOULD BE IN COLDHARBOUR LANE AS IT HAS A WIDE PAVEMENT PLUS THE OLD CYCLE RACKS WERE REMOVED AFTER BEING DAMAGED WHEN A CAR MOUNTED THE PAVEMENT AND HIT THEM. I HAVE RAISED THIS ISSUE AT THE CAMBERWELL COMMUNITY MEETING 3 MONTHS AGO AS WHY THEY HAD NOT BEEN REPLACED BUT LIKE MOST THINGS WITH THE COUNCIL IF IT'S NOT THEIR IDEA IT FALLS ON DEAF EARS!
4. WE RECEIVED THIS CONSULTATION ON THE SAME DAY ALL PARKING WAS SUSPENDED IN THE AREA OF THE PROPOSED CYCLE HANGARS, TO FACILITATE A CRANE ENTERING THE SCHOOLS ACR PARK VIA ITS EXISTING CROSSOVER THAT DOES NOT SHOW ON YOUR DRAWING WHICH RATHER HIGHLIGHTS THE IMPRACTICALITY OF PLACING IT IN THIS POSITION.  
I AM BAFLED AS TO WHY ANYONE WOULD REQUEST ONE AT THESE WRITE FOR REASON SET OUT IN MY UNANSWERED ENSUING OF CHRIS DURBAN. IF ONE OF THESE UNITS IS REQUIRED I WOULD SUGGEST THE SCHOOL SIDE OF MORMA ROAD OR THE SCHOOL SIDE OF THE SHORT LEG AT VALMAR ROAD, AVOIDS SCHOOL MARKING AND THE SUB STATION. PLEASE ADVISE ON THE OUTCOME OF THIS CONSULTATION.
5. THERE IS A BIG PROBLEM WITH PEOPLE DRINKING IN THE STREET ON VALMAR ROAD, THIS ERECTION WILL GIVE THEM SOMEWHERE ELSE TO CONGREGATE. THEY ARE LOUD AND INTIMIDATING AND THEY DON'T NEED ANY ENCOURAGEMENT. THE HANGAR WILL GIVE THEM SOMETHING ELSE TO URINATE ON TOO.

Response:

The proposal is in direct response to a local request. The hangar would only take up half a parking space and its usage will be monitored and the hangar relocated if it is not well used. On such occasions that works are required the hangar would be temporarily moved to allow for them to take place.

**Vestry Road:**

1. THERE ARE MANY FAMILIES WITH CHILDREN WHO NEED A FAMILY CAR FOR DOMESTIC PURPOSE. ALREADY AT

THE WEEKEND IN PARTICULAR PEOPLE PARKING FOR MARRIAGE, CHRISTENING ETC FOR THE REGISTRY OFFICE ON THE HIGH STREET BLOCK ACCESS TO OUR HOMES WITH SHOPPING, PRAMS TO LOOSE ONE PARKING SPACE WOULD INCONVENIENCE RESIDENTS FURTHER. IT'S NOT LIKE THE ADDITION OF THIS PARKING HANGAR WILL NOT REDUCE THE COST OF RESIDENTIAL PARKING PERMITS, IT WILL INCREASE NOISE, TRAFFIC ALREADY VERY HEAVY ON VESTRY ROAD.

2. THIS WILL TAKE UP A CAR PARKING SPACE WHICH WOULD BE LIMITED ALREADY. THIS SHOULD BE SECURED OFF THE ROAD ON A SIDEWAY. THERE ARE LOTS OF FREE SPACES OFF THE ROAD, NOT DIRECTLY ON PAVEMENT. THIS HANGAR WILL NOT BE USED ON THE MAIN ROAD, A BIT OF A EYE SORE TOO.
3. PARKING IN AREA IS ALREADY SCARCE THIS WOULD PUT AN IMMENSE PRESSURE ON RESIDENTS WHO ARE ALREADY PUSHED FOR PARKING SPACES INCLUDING ME. I DON'T OPPOSE ANY BICYCLE HANGAR BUT IT SHOULD BE PLACED IN AN AREA NOT USUALLY OCCUPIED BY A CAR. CAR OWNERS PAY ROAD TAX AND FOR PARKING PERMITS AMONGST OTHER THINGS THEIR NEEDS SHOULD TAKE A PRIORITY. UNTIL CYCLISTS ARE CHARGED FOR PARKING AND ROAD USE LIKE US THEY WILL JUST HAVE TO MAKE DO. I DON'T KNOW WHY BORIS INSISTS ON PUSHING THIS BIKE AGENDA WHEN LONDON FIRST DOES NOT HAVE THE INFRASTRUCTURE.
4. I THINK ITS NOT NECESSARY FOR THE COUNCIL TO PUT UP THESE HANGARS, INSTEAD THE COUNCIL SHOULD PROVIDE MORE SPACE FOR CAR PARKING AND SPACE FOR RECREATION AND EXERCISE EG SPACES FOR GYM.

Response:

The proposal is in direct response to a local request. The hangar would only take up half a parking space and its usage will be monitored and the hangar relocated if it is not well used.